Application No. Applicant(s) 09/595,798 BROSNAN, WILLIAM J. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3713 John M Hotaling II All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Keith Moore. (1) John M Hotaling II. (4)_____. (2) David Olynick. Date of Interview: 08 April 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 27 and 28. Identification of prior art discussed: Voung and Ng. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented to the examiner his indentded path of action to more clearly spell out the interconnections between the game machines in claims 27 and 28. The examiner stated that he would examine the changes when they were officially presented. Attachment 1 is the signed interview request form. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

dôhn M. HOTALING, II PRIMARY EXAMINER